



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NURSING EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIP

## Information for Authors

1. Scope and general policies of the Journal
2. Ethical conduct of research
3. Submission of manuscripts
4. Preparation of manuscripts
5. Post-acceptance

### 1. Scope and general policies

The International Journal of Nursing Education Scholarship (IJNES) publishes significant research and scholarship in the broad field of nursing education. The mandate of the journal is to present high-quality papers to advance nursing education through research, description of innovative methods, or introduction of novel approaches about all aspects of nursing education in a timely manner. The specific aims of IJNES are to: promote worldwide scholarship in nursing education, enhance and advance nursing education globally, and provide a forum for the dissemination of international perspectives and scholarship in nursing education.

IJNES publishes only English-language articles in the following categories:

- Research and Expository articles
- Quality Improvement articles
- Articles about Educational Processes, Issues, and Trends
- Literature Reviews
- Editorials

Submissions on the following topics are welcomed:

- Student, faculty, curriculum, and program development and evaluation
- Theoretically based teaching and learning strategies in classroom, clinical, and flexible learning contexts
- Issues and trends in nursing education
- Administration and leadership in nursing education

**Peer review:** The editors conduct preliminary reviews of submitted manuscripts for compliance with the aim and instructions of the journal. Manuscripts must fit the aim and scope, the fit and validity of the statistical methods used, and adherence to ethical principles. Upon preliminary evaluation, if required, manuscripts may be unsubmitted and sent back to the authors for revision. If no revision is required or upon completion of the required editorial revisions, manuscripts are sent to at least 2 expert reviewers. The editors ensure that there is no conflict of interest while assigning reviewers and names of authors are not declared to the reviewers. The review process is blinded.

Members of the editorial board and other nurse scientists who are experts in the manuscript subject area and/or methodology are assigned as reviewers. Once reviews have been received, all reviewers' reports are sent to authors along with an editorial decision. Manuscript revisions may be sent to reviewers for a second review if deemed necessary by editors. Final decisions about publication are based upon the reviewers' and editor opinions.

**Turnaround times:** IJNES aspires to notify authors about the review decision within 9-12 weeks of the submission date. Revisions to manuscripts should be returned within 12 weeks. The length of time provided may be longer depending on whether the manuscript requires major or minor modifications. Accepted articles are normally published online within 4 weeks after acceptance.

**Rejection of manuscripts:** Manuscripts dealing with subjects that have been well studied in the literature, that do not resolve questions raised by previous studies, do not contribute novel understandings to knowledge development, or are methodologically flawed are likely to be rejected without peer review. Manuscripts are also returned to authors or rejected if they do not comply with the Information for Authors or Quick Checklist Main Document.

**Unpublished material:** Submission of a manuscript to IJNES implies that the work described has not been previously published, except in the form of an abstract, academic thesis or lecture; that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere; that publication of the work is approved by all authors, and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out; and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere, in English or in any other language, without the written consent of the Publisher.

## 2. Ethical conduct of research

For information on plagiarism, please refer to [COPE Committee on Publication Ethics](#). Please note that IJNES may use the check program "iThenticate" to assess for potential overlap in prior publication(s). Any previously published material must be referenced appropriately in the manuscript. For every submission to IJNES, authors have to fill out and upload the [Template for Ethical and Legal Declarations](#) at the time of the original submission.

Instructions on how to make declarations can be found [here](#).

Manuscripts and any other printed material published in the IJNES represent the opinions of authors and should not be construed to reflect the opinions of the Editorial Board.

**Open Access policy and copyright:** All articles undergo peer review and will be accepted for publication based on the quality of their scientific contribution. Authors may choose hybrid open access publication but this choice has no influence on the peer review or acceptance process. More information on De Gruyter's open access policies can be found [here](#).

**Note for authors of NIH-funded research:** De Gruyter acknowledges that the author of a US-agency-funded article retains the right to provide a copy of the final manuscript to the agency upon acceptance for publication as well as for public archiving in PubMed Central. Note that only the accepted author's version of the manuscript, not the PDF file of the published article, may be used for NIH archiving.

## 3. Submission of manuscripts

Please submit manuscripts exclusively online at:

<https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/dgijnes>

## 4. General information on preparation of manuscripts

Detailed information of preparation of manuscripts for IJNES can be found in the **Quick Checklist for Main Document**). Strict adherence to the instructions is required.

**Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses of randomized controlled trials:** Please refer to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses statement ([PRISMA](#)). Authors must include a suitable PRISMA flow chart in their submission. The flow diagram depicts the flow of information through the different phases of a systematic review. A template of the PRISMA flow diagram is available [here](#) as a PDF and Word document.

## 5. Information for reviewers

IJNES is a peer-reviewed journal. Since peer review helps authors and editors improve the quality of scientific manuscripts, it is crucial for scientific manuscript processing. Reviewers perform an invaluable task for the entire scientific and nursing community. Therefore, IJNES editors thank all nurse scientists for giving their expertise and time to the journal by serving as reviewers.

It is the editors' responsibility to select appropriate reviewers for the relevant manuscript. Manuscripts are sent to at least 2 expert reviewers who specialize in the manuscript subject area and/or methodology. Reviewers should review manuscripts for their novelty and originality, methodology and scientific accuracy, importance, quality, clarity, ethical issues, and suitability for the journal. Criteria for manuscript review are included with the request sent to reviewers.

The reviewers should consider the following main points before agreeing to evaluate a manuscript:

- As a reviewer, if you believe that you are not competent to evaluate a manuscript, please inform the editor when you receive the invitation.
- Reviewers are anonymous to the authors.
- Manuscripts under peer review should be strictly confidential. Reviewers must not share manuscripts or discuss their content with anyone outside the peer-review process.
- The reviewer should aim to help the author improve the manuscript. The comments about the manuscript should be objective, constructive, honest, and courteous.
- As the editors of IJNES, we encourage reviewers to report any scientific or publication misconduct.
- Reviewers should declare if they have a conflict of interest and recuse themselves from the peer-review process.
- Please complete your review within the time period specified when you accept to review the manuscript.

As editors of IJNES, we appreciate your commitment to nursing education and the peer-review process and thank you all in advance.

## 6. Post-acceptance

**Proofs:** The editors will inform the corresponding author of the manuscript decision. Accepted manuscripts must be provided in a Word document containing the final text for typesetting. The corresponding author of an article will receive the proofs in electronic form to check for editing and type-setting accuracy. The final, accepted version of the manuscript must adhere to the above-mentioned guidelines. Please check the final file of the article carefully because spelling mistakes, inconsistencies, and errors will be faithfully translated into the typeset version. Major changes to the article after acceptance will not be considered.

The electronic files of typeset articles in Adobe Acrobat PDF format are provided free of charge; corresponding authors will receive an e-mail notification that their article has been published online.

**Please contact the Journal Manager with any further questions:**

Katharina Appelt

Tel. +49-30-26005-325

E-mail: [katharina.appelt@deGruyter.com](mailto:katharina.appelt@deGruyter.com)

# INSTRUCTIONS

## ETHICAL AND LEGAL DECLARATIONS

The author and ethical statements in their default format are a **requirement for submission** to De Gruyter Journals. Statements are to be customized as described below and submitted alongside with manuscripts. **All authors** are requested to include information regarding sources of funding for their research, author contributions and financial or non-financial interests.

**For all studies that involved humans and/or animals** authors additionally have to include study-specific approval by the appropriate ethics committee as well as informed consent if the research involved human participants. The decision as to whether the latter information must be included depends not only on the journal in question, but also on the scope of the submission. Therefore, the responsibility remains with the authors to include the relevant disclosures and declarations.

### RESEARCH FUNDING

This section should describe sources of funding that have supported the work. Authors should state how the research described in their article was funded, including grant numbers if applicable. Whenever funding has been obtained the following statement should be included: *The funding organization(s) played no role in the study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the report for publication.*

#### MANDATORY



The template default statement applies for the case that no funding has been involved. Otherwise, include any additional information regarding the work under consideration for publication.

### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

De Gruyter recommends that authors adhere to the guidelines for authorship that are applicable in their specific research field. The following guidelines give orientation for the definition of authorship, contributorship and group authorship <sup>1,2</sup>:

1. Substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; AND
2. Drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND
3. Final approval of the version to be published; AND
4. Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Each author listed must meet all 4 criteria of authorship. All remaining contributors should be listed in the Acknowledgments. Exceptions may be made at the discretion of the editor.

1. ICMJE, Defining the Role of Authors and Contributors, 2018, <http://www.icmje.org/recommendations/browse/roles-and-responsibilities/defining-the-role-of-authors-and-contributors.html>

2. Transparency in authors' contributions and responsibilities to promote integrity in scientific publication, McNutt et al, PNAS February 27, 2018 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1715374115>

#### MANDATORY



The template default statement represents the minimum declaration needed for submission. Individual contributions may be included if desired or requested by the individual journal.

### COMPETING INTERESTS

To ensure fair and objective decision-making, authors must declare any relationships that pose an actual or potential conflict of interest (financial, personal or professional), in connection with evaluated manuscripts. Include any additional information regarding the work under consideration for publication: sources of support (grants); consulting fee or honorarium; support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes; fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical

analysis, end point committees, and the like; payments for writing or reviewing the manuscript; provisions of writing assistance, medicine, equipment or administrative support etc.

Also include all relevant financial activities outside the submitted work: board membership; consultancy; employment; expert testimony; grants/grants pending; payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus; patents (planned, pending or issued), royalties, payment for development of educational presentations; stock/stock options; travel/ accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed; monetary interests in the products studied; etc.)

### MANDATORY



The template default statement applies for cases where no competing interests exist. Otherwise, include any additional information regarding the work under consideration for publication.

## ETHICAL APPROVAL

**Please note: If the research conducted does not relate to either human or animal use please state: “not applicable”!**

### Authorization for the use of human subjects

Manuscripts containing information related to human use should clearly state that the research has complied with all relevant national regulations and institutional policies and has been approved by the authors' institutional review board or equivalent committee. Copies of the guidelines and policy statements must be available for review by the Managing Editor if necessary. The editors reserve the right to seek additional information or guidance from reviewers on any cases in which concerns arise. All investigation conducted with human subjects must have followed the tenets of the [Helsinki Declaration](#). Additionally, authors must identify the committee or review board approving the experiments, and provide a statement indicating approval of the research.



The template default statement applies in cases where the local Institutional Review Board deemed the study exempt from review. **In all other cases**, the following statement has to be included alongside with the respective board/committee number: **The research related to human use has complied with all the relevant national regulations, institutional policies, and in accordance with the tenets of the Helsinki Declaration, and has been approved by the authors' Institutional Review Board or equivalent committee (Name, approval number).**

### Authorization for the use of experimental animals

Manuscripts containing information related to animal use should clearly state that the research has complied with all relevant national regulations and institutional policies and has been approved by the authors' institutional review board or equivalent committee. Copies of the guidelines and policy statements must be available for review by the Managing Editor if necessary. The editors reserve the right to seek additional information or guidance from reviewers on any cases in which concerns arise. The research using animal subjects should be conducted according to the [Principles of Laboratory Animal Care and similar documents](#). For manuscripts reporting experiments on live vertebrates or higher invertebrates, authors must identify the committee approving the experiments, and must confirm that all experiments were performed in accordance with relevant regulations.



The template default statement applies in cases where the local Institutional Review Board deemed the study exempt from review. **In all other cases**, the following statement has to be included alongside with the respective board/committee number: **The research related to animals' use has complied with all the relevant national regulations and institutional policies for the care and use of animals (Name, approval number).**

## INFORMED CONSENT

**Please note: If the research conducted does not relate to human use and/or not infringe any personal rights, please state: “not applicable”!**

The protection of privacy is a legal right that must not be breached without individual informed consent. In cases where the identification of personal information is necessary for scientific reasons, authors should obtain full documentation of informed consent, including written permission from the patient prior to inclusion in the study.



The template default statement applies in cases where informed consent was obtained from all individuals included in the study. For all trials that involve children, De Gruyter assumes that informed consent was obtained from the parents or legal guardians.

## FURTHER STATEMENTS

If you wish to make any further declarations (e.g., a Data availability statement), please include them **after** the Ethical approval statement. **ALL** statements must be made in this document for technical reasons, **NOT** in the main document. Otherwise, they will not appear in the published article.